		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Evaminar Initiated Interview Summa	man,	10/047,256	WHITBY ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton)	1744
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton).		(3)	
(2) Atty. William Schwarze.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2004		Time:	
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
claims 1 and 10			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate i	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
	·	<u> </u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/047,256

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Schwarze in order to put the case in condition for allowance. She indicated that the arguments presented 3/18/2004 were persuasive, but that the independent claims required clarification of the controlled aspect of the periodic supply, as well as differentiation of the two, separate fragrances. Mr. Schwarze agree to language conveying such for amendments to claims 1 and 10 and the Examiner agreed to perform such changes with an Examiner's Amendment..